As discussed in the motion to dismiss (Docs 8 and 9), no matter how it is pleaded, the exclusive jurisdiction for such claims is in the Board of Adjustment. SERVPRO has conceded implicitly that the Board of Adjustment has exclusive jurisdiction because it filed a claim with the Board of Adjustment seeking the same relief sought in this Court. (See Ex. A, SERVPRO Claim against JSU in the Board of Adjustment.) But SERVPRO wants to still proceed here. It cannot. This case should be dismissed. The claims should be heard in the exclusive forum: the Board of Adjustment.

II. BACKGROUND

This lawsuit arises out of work allegedly performed by SERVPRO repairing damage done to the JSU campus after a March 2018 tornado. Under a contract between JSU and SERVPRO, JSU paid SERVPRO about \$50,000,000 for work performed. JSU's source of funding for most of these payments was the State Insurance Fund. As SERVPRO's invoices mounted, the State Insurance Fund ceased funding. On information and belief, the State Insurance Fund's refusal to fund these payments arose out of an audit of SERVPRO's billings raising significant concern that SERVPRO had overbilled.

JSU notified SERVPRO that it would not pay additional invoices until: (a) the State of Alabama determined that SERVPRO's charges were legitimate and properly subject to reimbursement; and (b) an FBI's investigation into SERVPRO's alleged overbilling was concluded.

SERVPRO commenced this lawsuit suing JSU's president (in his official capacity), vice president for finance (in his official and individual capacity), and facilities director (in his official and individual capacity). SERVPRO tried to escape sovereign immunity and to circumvent the Board of Adjustment's exclusive jurisdiction by asserting that individual JSU employees are responsible for JSU's alleged \$12,000,000 contractual obligation to SERVPRO for restoration work. JSU moved to dismiss this federal court lawsuit for multiple reasons, including sovereign immunity and the Board of Adjustment's exclusive jurisdiction. (Docs 8 and 9).

Although this lawsuit remains pending, SERVPRO filed a claim with the Board of Adjustment alleging the same misconduct and based on the same facts alleged in this lawsuit's complaint. (Doc 24 and Ex. A, SERVPRO Claim against JSU in the Board of Adjustment.) SERVPRO's Board of Adjustment claim against JSU is telling:

- 1. SERVPRO's counsel's cover letter states that "elements of the above-captioned claim pertain to matters currently pending in the case of BWW, Inc., doing business as SERVPRO of Birmingham v. James Brigham, etc. et al., Civil Action No.: 1:21-cv-00470-CLM, in the United States Court for Northern Division [sic] of Alabama."
- 2. SERVPRO's claim states: "The matters pertaining to the same facts or some of them pertain to pending litigation in the case of BWW, Inc., doing business as SERVPRO of Birmingham v. James Brigham, etc. et al., Civil Action No.: 1:21-cv-00470-CLM, in the United States Court for Northern District of Alabama."

- 3. SERVPRO's "Statement of Facts" describes and incorporates the restoration contract between SERVPRO and JSU, and then alleges that JSU stopped paying SERVPRO's invoices under the contract.
- 4. SERVPRO's claim mentions that JSU informed SERVPRO that "no further payments would be made until the completion of an investigation."
- 5. SERVPRO seeks \$20,476,584.13 from JSU, including interest, under the restoration contract.

In its Board of Adjustment claim, SERVPRO tries to distinguish this lawsuit's complaint by asserting this lawsuit is about seeking declarations that certain Alabama laws are unconstitutional, but the argument is unavailing and misleading. In the same paragraph, SERVPRO admits that this lawsuit is about "judicial enforcement of civil obligations for goods and services provided to and accepted by them ["JSU and/or other agencies"] under the terms of a valid and otherwise enforceable agreement."

Otherwise stated, SERVPRO admits in the Board of Adjustment claim that it is seeking to recover under the JSU-SERVPRO contract in both the Board of Adjustment and this federal court. SERVPRO's admission is confirmation that this case should be dismissed.

III. POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

A. <u>SERVPRO</u> has admitted these claims belong in the Board of Adjustment by filing its claim there.

The Board of Adjustment has exclusive jurisdiction over breach of contract claims against state agencies, including state universities. Ala. Code §§ 41-9-60 and 41-9-62(a)(4) (1975). If a claim falls under the Board of Adjustment's jurisdiction, courts lack subject-matter jurisdiction over the claim. *Vaughan v. Sibley*, 709 So. 2d 482, 486 (Ala. Civ. App. 1997) ("The Board of Adjustments has exclusive jurisdiction over a contract claim against a state university.")

In SERVPRO's complaint, every claim, no matter how it is stated, seeks the same thing: to collect the amount SERVPRO says it is owed. SERVPRO argued vigorously in opposition to dismissal that the Board of Adjustment does not have exclusive jurisdiction and is not the proper forum (Doc 16, pp. 23 and 24), yet now it has filed a claim in that forum.

SERVPRO cannot have it both ways. SERVPRO's claim must be heard in the Board of Adjustment. SERVPRO's attempt to hedge its bet to avoid the defense of the statute of limitations in the Board of Adjustment is futile and an admission its arguments in federal court are wrong.

B. Federal law forbids SERVPRO from pursuing the same or similar claims simultaneously in two forums.

SERVPRO has indicated its belief that this Court has jurisdiction over some issues because it seeks a declaration of its rights. But "[t]he Supreme Court has 'repeatedly characterized the Declaratory Judgment Act as an enabling Act, which confers discretion on the courts rather than an absolute right upon the litigant."

Catlin Ins. Co. v. Dixie Air Servs., 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 93262, *5 (N.D. Ala. March 14, 2014), quoting Wilton v. Seven Falls Co., 515 U.S. 277, 287 (1995). "As the Eleventh Circuit has observed, the Act 'only gives the federal courts competence to make a declaration of rights; it does not impose a duty to do so." Id., quoting Ameritas Variable Life Ins. Co. v. Roach, 411 F.3d 1328, 1330 (11th Cir. 2005). A "district court has discretion to 'decline to entertain a declaratory judgment action on the merits when a pending proceeding in another court will fully resolve the controversy between the parties." Id., quoting Ven-Fuel, Inc. v. Dept. of the Treasury, 673 F.2d 1194, 1195 (11th Cir. 1982).

The Eleventh Circuit has stated a non-exhaustive list of "guideposts" for the Court to consider when deciding to exercise jurisdiction over an action when a party seeks a declaration of its rights:

(i) the state's interest in deciding the matter; (ii) whether a judgment in the federal action would completely resolve the controversy; (iii) whether the declaratory judgment action would clarify the parties' legal relations; (iv) whether the federal action amounts to procedural fencing; (v) whether a ruling in the federal action would increase friction between federal and state courts or otherwise encroach on state proceedings; (vi) whether a superior alternative remedy exists; (vii) whether underlying facts are important to informed resolution of the matter; (viii) whether the state court is better situated than the federal court to evaluate those facts; and (ix) the nexus (if any) between the underlying issues and state law/policy, and whether federal common or statutory law requires resolution of the declaratory action.

Catlin Ins. Co. v. Dixie Air Servs., 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 93262, *5-6, quoting Ameritas, 411 F.3d at 1331.

Here, the Board of Adjustments may determine the rights of the parties on the contract and claims at issue. The proper forum to resolve the issues between JSU and SERVPRO is not this Court.¹

IV. CONCLUSION

Defendants have never said that SERVPRO was without a remedy or forum. This Court should see SERVPRO's filing in the Board of Adjustment for what it is: an admission that it can, and should, seek its relief in that forum. This lawsuit should be dismissed.

Respectfully submitted,

Wayne Morse

Attorney for Defendants

WALDREP STEWART & KENDRICK, LLP 2850 19th Street South Suite 370 Birmingham, AL 35209

Telephone: (205) 327-8325 Email: morse@wskllc.com

¹ Although the Alabama abatement statute is not binding on this Court, its policy shows that SERVPRO should not be allowed to proceed in this Court with the Board of Adjustment claim now pending. *See* Ala. Code § 6-5-440 (1975) ("No plaintiff is entitled to prosecute two actions in the courts of this state at the same time for the same cause and against the same party.")

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on December 10, 2021, I served a copy of the foregoing upon the following counsel of record via the Court's electronic filing system, email or U.S. mail:

Barry W. Hair Brad J. Smith Clark, Hair & Smith, P.C. 1000 Urban Center Drive, Suite 125 Birmingham, AL 35242

Wayne Morse

Attorney for Defendants



Clark, Hair & Smith, P.C.

Barry W. Hair Attorney at Law bhair@chelaw.com 1000 Urban Center Drive, Suite 125
Birmingham, Alabama 35242
(205) 397.2900 ·fax (205) 397.2901
www.chslaw.com

December 1, 2021

Alabama State Board of Adjustment Alabama State Capitol 600 Dexter Avenue, Suite E-302 Montgomery, AL 36104

RE: BWW, Inc. dba ServPro of Birmingham, an Alabama corporation v. Jacksonville State University, and other agencies and departments of the State of Alabama

Dear Sir or Madam:

Please note that elements of the above-captioned claim pertain to matters currently pending in the case of BWW, Inc., doing business as SERVPRO of Birmingham v. James Brigham, etc. et al., Civil Action No.: 1:21-cv-00470-CLM, in the United States District Court for the Northern Division of Alabama: Eastern Division, and involve the constitutionality and validity of laws, rules or regulations as applied to and by agencies of the State of Alabama, and that Board of Adjustment Rules 14(i) and 22(b) are applicable. It is my understanding that under the Board rules, consideration of this claim will be suspended pending resolution of pertinent litigation. If my understanding is incorrect in any way, please let me know.

Sincerely yours,

Barry W. Hair

Barry W. Hair

BWH/ds

6. Sign the claim form in the presence of a Notary Public, print your name and have the notary complete the verification section of the claim form.

Fax:(334)242-2008

ALABAMA STATE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT VENDOR'S CLAIM FOR PAYMENT

See Page 1 of this form for instructions. Each number on the form corresponds with numbers on instruction sheets. Read all instructions carefully to ensure your claim is not returned for additional supporting documentation. See INSTRUCTIONS for mailing or hand delivering this form to the Board of Adjustment (Page 1).

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS SPACE, FOR BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT USE ONLY.

Claim

No.: 244-20020097

- 1. Enter the Name of the Department or Agency of the State of Alabama against which you are making this claim:
 - Jacksonville State University (JSU)

And to the extent that they have assumed or exercised authority over JSU's satisfaction of its obligations to ServPro described herein, or have custody, control, or distribution authority over funds necessary to satisfy those obligations, other agencies, departments, or divisions of the State of Alabama including the following:

- Alabama Department of Finance
- Alabama State Insurance Fund
- Alabama Division of Risk Management
- Alabama Emergency Management Agency



PLEASE TAKE NOTE:

• For purposes of the Board's jurisdiction as defined by Ala. Code § 41-9-62, and for the application of Rule 22(b) of the Rules of the Alabama Board of Adjustment, Rev. August 24, 2021 which provides in pertinent part: "[n]o claim will be scheduled for hearing if litigation pertaining to the same facts is pending in any court." The matters pertaining to these facts or some of them pertain to pending litigation in the case of BWW, Inc., doing business as SERVPRO of Birmingham v. James Brigham, etc. et al., Civil Action No.: 1:21-cv-00470-CLM, in the United States District Court for the Northern Division of Alabama: Eastern Division.

- This litigation includes claims under both federal and Alabama law for Servpro's work and services against state officials or former officials in their individual capacities for legal relief, claims against state officials or former officials in their official capacities for equitable relief, claims for both legal and equitable relief against private insurers, reinsurers, and other private individuals or entities that may be discovered to be responsible for ServPro's claimed injuries, and that are thus outside the jurisdictional contours of this Board.
- For purposes of Rule 14(i) of the Rules of the Alabama Board of Adjustment which states:
 - (i) Claim involving Constitutionality of a law, rule or regulation. If the claim is based upon the alleged unconstitutionality or invalidity of any law, rule or regulation, it will not be considered by the Board of Adjustment until the constitutionality or the validity of such law, rule or regulation has become definitely determined by the Alabama Appellate Courts.

To the extent that JSU and/or other agencies, departments of the State of Alabama or officials thereof contend that they are insulated from judicial enforcement of civil obligations for goods and services provided to and accepted by them under the terms of a valid and otherwise enforceable agreement, ServPro asserts that any state law, rule or regulation purporting to provide such insulation violates the Constitution and laws both of the United States and the State of Alabama.

2. Enter your Name, Mailing Address, E-mail Address, Contact Phone Number(s) and Social Security # or FEIN:

Name: BWW, Inc. dba ServPro of Birmingham, an Alabama corporation.

Mailing Address:

2000 Huntley Parkway, Pelham, Alabama 35124

E-Mail Address:

brwilson@servproofbirmingham.com

Contact Telephone Numbers:

FEIN:

63-1127232

Attention:

Brady Wilson

Street Address or P.O. Box: 2000 Huntley Parkway

City, State, Zip Code: Pelham, Alabama 35124
E-mail Address: brwilson@servproofbirmingham.com
Home Telephone No.: N/A Office Telephone No.: 205/252-8110
Cellular Telephone No.: 205/542-1132 Fax No.: 205/664-6774
Claimant's Last Four Digits of Social Security No. or last four digits of Business FEIN:
XXX-XX or XX-XXX
Claimant's Attorney: (NOTE: If an attorney is listed, all correspondence will be with the attorney only.) Attorney Name:
Barry W. Hair, Esq., Clark, Hair & Smith, P.C.
Street Address or P.O. Box:
1000 Urban Center Drive, Suite 125
City, State, Zip Code:
Birmingham, Alabama 35242
E-mail Address: bhair@chslaw.com
Office Telephone No.: 205/397-2900 Fax No.: 205/727-9430
3. Facts of Claim:

A. Date account was due to be paid according to payment terms:

Dec. 3, 2020 (See description of JSU's unilateral imposition of new conditions to the performance if its payment obligations, below. See also, Exhibit C)

B. Last date service was provided, or goods were delivered (mm/dd/year): last charge represented on invoices 08/16/2019. As described below, and consistent with ordinary business practices ServPro participated in post-performance reviews, adjustments, and clarifications until JSU's renunciation of its obligations under the contract on 12/3/2020.

C. Statement of Facts:

The JSU campus was devastated by a tornado on March 19, 2018. On March 20, 2018, JSU selected ServPro of Birmingham to coordinate and conduct most of the restoration and reconstruction work necessary to return the campus to a functioning educational institution. Under terms proposed and accepted by JSU, ServPro performed its obligations, restored the campus and its facilities, and made it possible for JSU to reopen for the Fall Semester, 2018. The Terms of the obligations of JSU and ServPro are described in the Disaster Recovery Authorization and Service Contract, executed by an appropriately designated official of JSU and by ServPro (the Contract). [A copy of the Contract is attached as Exhibit A]

ServPro fulfilled each of its obligations under the Contract. In the early stages of ServPro's work to restore its campus JSU paid the full amount of ServPro's invoices after having been through the process described, above. Later, some invoices were paid in full (less the "hold back" amounts) and others only partially. In the final stages of work under the Contract, JSU's payments stopped altogether. Relying on the repeated assurances of JSU officials that full payment would be made, ServPro continued with the performance of its work under the Contract. ServPro continued to engage with representatives of JSU, its insurers, and others during the process of clarification, adjusting, documentation and auditing of the work it had performed, and the invoices submitted.

As provided by the Contract and in keeping with commonly accepted industry practice, JSU's payment obligations were incurred upon the performance of components of the required work, the acceptance of that work by JSU, the submission of invoices and any necessary documentation by ServPro to JSU. As in ordinary business practices and under the course of conduct between ServPro and JSU, ServPro then participated in post-performance reviews and clarifications with JSU, adjusters, other involved agencies and insurers in the resolution any questions or appropriate adjustments to the submitted invoices. Under the course of conduct between ServPro and JSU and consistent with industry practice for work of this magnitude, JSU retained or "held back" a portion (ranging from 25% to 10%) of each invoice payment pending acceptance of the work by JSU, reviews of the work and submitted invoices, and necessary and appropriate adjustments. JSU [or other agency as described above] has retained custody of these the funds retained pending final adjustment.

JSU, in collaboration with or compelled by the Alabama Department of Finance, the State Insurance Fund, Division of Risk Management, Alabama Emergency Management Agency and/or other state offices and the responsible insurers and re-insurers, continued to request clarification, documentation or explanation of ServPro's unpaid invoices. JSU sought payment of Servpro's invoices with those entities and/or agencies. ServPro cooperated fully in this review process, clarified the basis of invoices, and adjusted invoices as appropriate. To other agencies of the State of Alabama, JSU's counsel acknowledged and recognized that:

- Restoring its campus which enabled JSU to reopen for the Fall semester, 2018 was a "Herculean task" by Servpro.
- That ServPro's work to attain that essential goal was excellent.
- That ServPro "did an outstanding job getting JSU's campus in a position to open for Fall classes."
- That ServPro's work and invoices therefore were consistently and actively reviewed by JSU and by the State Insurance Fund (SIF). That its invoices were reviewed and approved by a consultant hired by SIF
- That JSU, relying on reimbursements authorized by SIF from sources including private insurers and reinsurers, was itself injured by SIF's delays and refusals to participate effectively in the review, adjustment, and resolution process for the remaining payments due to ServPro. (September 24, 2019, letter from JSU Counsel, Oscar Price, to State of Alabama Risk Manager, Max Graham. Attached as Exhibit B).

In an abrupt reversal of position, on Dec. 3, 2020, JSU, through other counsel notified ServPro that no further payments would be made until the completion of an investigation and until "...JSU is assured by the State of Alabama that any further payments to ServPro will be reimbursed". [JSU General Counsel letter to ServPro, Dec. 3, 2020, thus effectively making any further obligations to pay ServPro for the balance owed on the Contract dependent upon action by third parties. [Attached as Exhibit C]

BOA Vendor Claim Form - 5/24/2016

Claimant's Name: BWW, Inc. dba ServPro of Birmingham, an Alabama corporation

4. GRAND TOTAL AMOUNT FOR THIS CLAIM:

Total Unpaid Invoices exclusive of interest: \$10,905,857.91

Interest Accrued through November 2021: \$9,570,726.22

Grand Total Due as of November 30, 2021: \$20,476.584.13

Interest Accrual rate: Prospective interest accrual, December 2021-November 2022:

\$3,942,425,20*

*The Disaster Recovery Authorization and Service Contract obligates JSU to pay accrued interest at a rate of 1.5% per month or the maximum interest rate permitted by law, whichever is lesser. The amounts above have been calculated at the 1.5% rate and are subject to adjustment if required by applicable law. The same document obligates JSU to pay costs of collection, including court costs and reasonable attorney's fee. So long as JSU's obligation remains unpaid, no calculation of this amount is possible, however it remains part of the relief requested by this petition.

The Board is requested to include in its award all accrued interest pursuant to the contract terms. not included in the sum above until payment is made, along with the cost and attorney's fee expenses as specified. Those specific amounts are subject to continuing computation and accrual and cannot be quantified at the time of this filing.

Claims for legal and equitable relief under federal and state law against entities other than JSU and/or persons including officials and/or former officials of JSU in their official or individual capacities, resulting from the matters described in this Claim, and including all issues not within the jurisdiction of this Board, are subject of separate litigation.

Signature of Claimant/Authorized Representative:	
Please Print Name Brady Wilson	
********************************	********
VERIFICATION STATE OF Alabamae COUNTY OF Shally	
Before me, a Notary Public in and for said state and county, person whose name is signed above who being made known to me and be testimony, affirmed that all of the above stated facts are true and or the state of the same in the same	eing duly sworn to give true
Sworn and subscribed before me this 15th day of December SEAL	<u>er</u> , 20 <u>2/</u> AFFIX
Signature of Notary Public Uma Oduderols	Printed Name
BOA Vendor Claim Form - 5/24/2016	OTARY PUBLISHING

ALABAMA STATE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

BWW, Inc. d/b/a ServPro of Birmingham

Exhibit "A"



Disaster Recovery Authorization and Service Contract

			s entered into on this 20th	day of	March	, 20_18, by and between
	o of Birmin		1. 1		("Service P.	rovider"), an independently
		ed franchise, and _				("Customer").
Addres	s:70	00 Forney Ave		City: _	Jacksonville	State: AL Zip: 36265
1.	reasonably Customer Customer the parties the proper that, while	necessary to comp may make changes acknowledges that hereto have the au ty which is the sub Service Provider a	in the Scope of Work by w Service Provider is indepen thority to enter into this Cor ject of the Scope of Work hangrees to perform the Scope	he Scop ritten ch dent of stract. S as been of Worl	be of Work (Exhiling ange order agree the Customer's its service Provider and damaged by a first according to income.	bit A). Service Provider and d to in writing by both parties, usurance company and that only and Customer acknowledge that e, flood, or other catastrophe and
2.	Term: The	is Contract shall co of Work and any a	ommence on the date signed pplicable change order(s) ("	below a Service	and shall continue s") have been con	until the services set forth in apleted.
	(Exhibit B expenses a accommod Services. any application work. Sagrees that), plus any applical ind permitted subco late Service Provid All rates quoted an able Federal, State Service Provider w	ontractors (cumulatively, "C er and take all steps necessa e exclusive of Federal, State or Local approvals, consent ill bill for and Customer sha forth on Exhibit A will be p	fees, sp harges" ry or co and Lo s, permi	ecial licenses, and occupant will onvenient to enable cal Sales or Use to tits, licenses and operall such actual	d other reasonably necessary make the facility accessible to le Service Provider to complete axes and costs associated with rder incident to performance of incurred costs. Customer
	Charges du	ie. Customer agree schedule: Bill mor	vice Provider shall submit to as to pay such fees and charg thly for prior month. raw based upon intial ass	ges for t	he Scope of Wor	pice(s) setting forth the total k in accordance with the
	a. b. c.	The balance of fe	on or before on or before es and charges for the Scope e Customer's receipt of the	of Wo	ork and change or voice.	ders shall be paid within thirty

If payments are not received within thirty (30) days, Customer agrees to pay all costs of collections up to and including court costs, reasonable attorney's fees and interest charges at the lesser of 1) 1.5% per month; or 2) the maximum lawful interest rate permitted by applicable law. In the event Customer shall fail to pay any periodic installment payment, such failure shall constitute a breach authorizing Service Provider to cease work without breach pending payment or resolution of any dispute.

4. Responsibility for Payment: By signing below, Customer hereby instructs Customer's insurance carrier to pay Service Provider directly for Services, emergency or otherwise, less any deductible actually paid by Customer. Customer shall remain primarily liable and fully responsible for payment and agrees to make such payment in a

timely manner in accordance with the terms of this Contract. If for any reason Customer receives a check or draft from hisurance company made payable to Customer, Customer agrees to remit payment immediately to Service Provider and hereby assigns to Service Provider the right to any such payment. Customer agrees to make payment for Charges, regardless of whether Customer or another person or entity is legally responsible for payment or whether Customer is entitled to reimbursement for such costs from some other person or entity or insurance carrier(s).

- 5. General Lien: Customer agrees that Service Provider shall have a general lien on any and all real and personal property of the Customer and in Customer's possession, custody or control for all claims, Charges or advances incurred by Service Provider generally and under this Contract. Customer represents that he/she is the owner of said property and/or is authorized to enter into this Contract and to bind the Customer and property owner to each and every term and condition contained herein.
- 6. Environmental: Customer represents and warrants that no hazardous materials and/or hazardous substances as defined by law are present at the property location. Customer is responsible for notification, identification, removal and disposing of all materials containing any such hazardous materials including, without limitation, asbestos and lead. Customer assumes all liability associated with such materials located on Customer's property and jobsites and agrees to hold the Service Provider harmless from disturbance of any such undisclosed materials. Customer assumes all liability for effects such materials may have on Service Provider's employees, temporary or contractual employees and subcontractors associated with this project. The Service Provider shall not be responsible for any such hazardous materials removal, handling or disposal, unless specifically identified as follows:

Building be building evaluation

Mold remediation, if any, must be set forth in the Scope of Work and must be directed by an Industrial Hygienist protocol and clearance testing.

- 7. **Disposal:** Disposal of any hazardous material and/or hazardous substances (including specimens or samples) agreed to be performed by Service Provider under this Contract will be made in the name of the Customer and under any Customer generator number or other identification of the Customer.
- 8. Limited Warranty: SERVICE PROVIDER WARRANTS FOR TWO YEARS THAT THE WORKMANSHIP OF THE SERVICES PERFORMED PURSUANT TO THIS CONTRACT WILL BE OF THE QUALITY GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE EMERGENCY PROPERTY DAMAGE WATER, FIRE AND SMOKE CLEANUP, MITIGATION/RESTORATION AND MOLD REMEDIATION SERVICES INDUSTRY. SERVICE PROVIDER ALSO WARRANTS FOR ONE YEAR THAT ALL MATERIALS FURNISHED IN CONNECTION WITH THE SERVICES WILL BE NEW, OF GOOD QUALITY, AND FREE FROM DEFECTS. IF THE SERVICES PROVIDED BY SERVICE PROVIDER FAIL TO MEET INDUSTRY STANDARDS, SERVICE PROVIDER AGREES TO PROVIDE RE-SERVICE AT NO ADDITIONAL COST FOR UP TO TWO YEARS. THIS PROVISION EXCLUDES RE-SERVICE ASSOCIATED WITH NORMAL WEAR AND TEAR, NORMAL RE-SOILING, IMPROPER CARE, IMPROPER MAINTENANCE AND NEW LOSS EVENTS. ANY ITEMS WARRANTED BY A MANUFACTURER WILL BE GOVERNED BY THAT WARRANTY, AND SERVICE PROVIDER WILL TAKE ALL STEPS NECESSARY TO TRANSFER ANY SUCH WARRANTY TO THE CUSTOMER. THE PARTIES SPECIFICALLY AGREE AND STIPULATE THAT THERE IS NO OTHER WARRANTY OF ANY TYPE OR NATURE WHATSOEVER, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, CONSUMER WARRANTIES, WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR PARTICULAR PURPOSES, AND/OR WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY.
- 9. Causes Beyond Control: Service Provider shall not be liable for any delay due to circumstances beyond the control of Service Provider including, but not limited to, flood, fire, strikes or other labor difficulty, act of God, casualty, unavailability of materials, weather conditions, building department requests, intervention by governmental authority, civil disturbance, sabotage, fuel or energy shortage, transportation delay, equipment

breakdown, natural catastrophes, inability to obtain necessary labor, materials or manufacturing facilities or any other cause beyond Service Provider's reasonable control.

- 10. Cousents and Permits: Any Federal, State or Local permits or consents required for the performance of the Scope of Work are the responsibility of the Customer; provided that, if made a part of the Scope of Work, Service Provider may obtain such permits and consents at Customer's expense. Both Service Provider and Customer will comply with all applicable governmental regulations, statutes, laws and ordinances.
- 11. Indemnity: Each party agrees to indemnify and hold harmless the other party hereto and the other party's shareholders, directors, Franchisor, officers, permitted subcontractors, employees and agents, from and against any and all claims, demands, causes of action and liabilities of any nature, including without limitation damages to property or personal injury and/or condition of the property, to the extent that any such claim, demand, cause of action and/or liability arises out of or is related to the breach of contract, negligence or other fault of the indemnifying party.
- 12. Cancellation: Service Provider shall have the right to cancel, cease or postpone any incomplete work without notice to Customer in the event that Customer becomes insolvent, adjudicated bankrupt, petitions for or consents to any relief under any bankruptcy reorganization statute, does not pay Service Provider, or becomes unable to meet its financial obligations in the normal course of business.
- 13. Limitation of Liability: In no event shall Service Provider, its owners, officers, directors, employees or agents, Franchisor, or affiliates be responsible for indirect, special, nominal, incidental, punitive, or consequential losses or damages, or for any penalties, regardless of the legal or equitable theory asserted, including contract, negligence, warranty, strict liability, statue, or otherwise.
- 14. Jurisdiction and Governing Law: The parties hereby irrevocably consent to the jurisdiction of the state or federal courts of the State of Alabama in connection with any action or proceeding arising out of or relating to this Contract, any document or instrument delivered pursuant to, in connection with, or simultaneously with this Contract, or a breach of this Contract or any such document or instrument. This Contract shall be construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Alabama
- 15. Entire Agreement: This Contract and the Exhibits hereto comprise the complete and entire agreement of the parties respecting the Services to be performed. No engagements, promises, representations, or warranties have been made by either party except as is expressly stated in this Contract and its Exhibits. All modifications to the Contract shall be in writing, signed by both parties hereto. The express written terms and conditions in the Contract apply in lieu of any course of dealing between the parties or usage of trade in the industry.
- 16. Waiver of Rights: A failure to either party to exercise any right provided herein shall not be deemed to be a waiver of any rights hereunder.
- 17. Right To Repair/Limitations Period: Any claim by Customer for faulty performance, non-performance, or breach under this contract shall be made in writing to Service Provider within ninety (90) days after the earlier of completion of the work or date any such performance, non-performance or breach would have been discovered exercising reasonable diligence. Failure to make such a written claim for any matter which could have been corrected by Service Provider shall be deemed waived by Customer. No action, regardless of form, relating to the subject matter of this contract may be brought more than one year after such date.
- 18. Prevailing Rate: If in an unforeseen circumstance a prevailing rate is instituted, Customer agrees that labor rates will be adjusted accordingly.
- 19. Captions: The captions and headings throughout this Contract are for convenience only. They are not part of this Contract and shall not be used in construing it.

- 20. Severability: If any provision of this contract is found to be ineffective, unenforceable or illegal for any reason under present or future laws, such provision shall be fully severable, and this contract shall be construed and enforced as if such provision never comprised a part of this contract. The remaining provisions of this contract shall remain in full force and effect and shall not be affected by the ineffective, unenforceable or illegal provision or by its severance from this contract.
- 21. Attachments: The following documents (if box is checked) are attached and incorporated herein by reference:
 - Exhibit A, Scope of Work
 - M Exhibit B, Rate Schedule

Agreed to and accepted this 20th day	of March , 20 <u>18</u> .
SERVPRO® of Birmingham	Customer (Authorized Signer):
Name:	Name: //20//
Title: Key Accounts Manager	Title: Director of Captial Planning and Facilities

Disaster Recovery Authorization/Service Contract Last Update: April 2012



Construction Division

Fire & Water - Cleanup & Restoration®

10 Monroe Dr, Pelham, AL 35124 (205) 664-6770

This Rate Sheet is to be used in conjunction with the SERVPRO of Birmingham Mitigation Rate Sheet	
signed for Jacksonville State University on 3/20/2019 by David Thompson. A	j
Rates already agreed to by Jacksonville State University may be used in accordance with this	
addendum along with the following rates:	

<u>Labor</u>

Roofing	\$95.00/hr
Carpenter	\$86.00/hr
Drywaller/Finisher	\$74.00/hr
Painter	\$68.00/hr
Electrician	\$98.00/hr
Mechanical	\$98.00/hr
Equipment Operator	[,] \$71.00/hr
Brick Mason	\$68.00/hr

<u>Materials</u>

- All materials will be billed out at cost plus 30%

Subcontract

- Subcontract Items will be billed out at 10% then 10% or 21% total
- Subcontract Items includes all construction related expenses excluding labor and material. These
 items include but are not limited to equipment rental, fueling, delivery, etc.

X D.J. E. Tipes Javid B. Thempson
Signature Printed Name

03.25.18

Date

Like it never even happened.® Independently Owned and Operated

ALABAMA STATE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

BWW, Inc. d/b/a ServPro of Birmingham

Exhibit "B"



Oscar M Price III oprice@wallecejordan.com

ATTORNEYS & COUNSELORS

Sender Direct Dial: 205.874.0370 Sender Direct Fex: 205.874,3270

September 24, 2019

BY EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Mr. Max Graham Risk Manager Alabama Division of Risk Management-State Insurance Fund 777 S Lawrence St Montgomery, Alabama 36104

Re:

Jacksonville State University's Claims to the State Insurance Fund for losses incurred on March 19, 2019

Dear Mr. Graham:

Our firm represents Jacksonville State University ("JSU") in connection with the significant damages to its properties and buildings from a tornado that struck its campus on March 19, 2018. Attached is JSU's revised Sworn Statement of Proof of Loss ("POL") in the amount of \$134,377,464.09 (Exhibit 1) - an update of JSU's POL submitted to the Alabama State Insurance Fund ("SIF") on February 15, 2019. This updated POL includes \$28,947,307.25 for the damages to Merrill and Wallace Halls and \$56,244,821.38 for amounts invoiced by ServPro. JSU's claims for Merrill and Wallace Halls are scheduled to be resolved in November in arbitration before Phil Adams, and SIF is represented on those claims by Robert Northcutt. This letter is directed to you as the person responsible for SIF claim administration. The purpose of this letter is to request SIF promptly and fairly adjust JSU's other claims. To that end, we have a request / suggestion on a procedure for resolving these claims in a prompt, fair and efficient manner. This letter is also to correct certain inaccurate information provided to SIF.

JSU's claims should already have been resolved and paid.

The damage to JSU's campus occurred on March 19, 2018, over a year and a half ago. Although the claims process and the payment of claims initially went very smoothly and claims and payments were timely and fairly processed, that abruptly changed in January 2019 (this was approximately the time when the total claim reached the level of the reinsurance). Beginning in January 2019, JSU encountered a failure to timely and fairly process and pay claims. As a result, in an effort to expedite the processing and payment of claims, on February 15, 2019, JSU submitted

a POL and requested payment. It has been over six months since that submission and it still has not been resolved.

There appears to be no justification for this unreasonable delay. As indicated on the attached POL, JSU is due a significant amount of money. JSU is in dire need of these claims proceeds to properly operate since it has been forced to self-fund a significant portion of its casualty loses. JSU is appropriately concerned that this process will continue to be delayed and remain unsettled indefinitely,

At this time, the vast majority of the repairs and replacements for the damaged property have been completed or are nearing completion. Contrary to assertions by SIF's consultants and representatives, they were fully involved in all material aspects of this process. Projects were put out for competitive bids in accordance with applicable law. SIF was provided with all of the documentation showing the cost expended by JSU. This documentation fully supports the claim amounts on the attached POL. In the instances where JSU repaired or replaced with other than like kind and quality, the submitted claim documents indicate that JSU is only seeking to recover for the cost of like kind and quality. It should not be that difficult for SIF to review these claims and determine that JSU's claim is substantiated and is due and payable. It should not take six months. Moreover, if SIF determines it should pay JSU less than the amount JSU spent to repair or replace with like kind and quality. SIF is obligated to clearly demonstrate a legitimate reason and basis for that denial and should promptly communicate that reason to JSU in writing.

JSU is not trying to have SIF pay for enhancements to the JSU campus.

One of the inaccurate allegations is that JSU is seeking to have SIF pay for enhancements to its campus. This is absolutely untrue.

Although JSU has made it perfectly clear in all of its conduct and submissions that it is not claiming the cost of enhancements, some SIF representatives and consultants continue to assert this false narrative in an attempt to justify their unilateral reductions of like kind and quality actual JSU costs. JSU has chosen, in several instances, to improve its facilities. It never tried to conceal this fact. It is a wise and judicious use of JSU's funds to take advantage of the situation to improve its facilities with funds from FEMA and JSU. Nothing in the policy prohibits JSU from using the funds received from SIF to fund the majority of the costs of an improved facility provided SIF is only paying the amounts due under the policy. The fact that JSU is using other funds to improve its facilities does not justify a refusal by SIF to pay JSU the amounts due under the policy.

In one case, SIF representatives and consultants went so far as to heavily edit a quote from a JSU representative in the JSU newsletter to deliberately make it appear that he said that JSU was using SIF money to pay for enhancements when he clearly never said that. In the actual quote, the JSU representative clearly stated "insurance is only responsible for like kind and quality-meaning insurance owes JSU for [the shingles on the roof at the time of the tornado]." That part of the quote was omitted to deliberately misquote the JSU representative. The actual quote goes on to discuss FEMA paying for upgraded shingles. The part of the quote about FEMA paying for the upgrades was also omitted to make it falsely appear that he was saying that SIF was paying for the roofing upgrade. This quote, taken in context, actually confirmed that JSU was requesting SIF pay for like kind and quality and that FEMA was paying for enhancements. The fact that SIF's

representatives and consultants were willing to delete parts of the quote to make it say exactly the opposite of what was said should call into question the accuracy and motives of SIF's representatives and consultants. A copy of the article is attached as Exhibit 2.

JSU has fully cooperated with SIF.

Another inaccurate assertion is that JSU has not fully cooperated with SIF and failed to provide SIF with requested information. On several occasions, in an effort to justify their unreasonable delay in processing the claims, SIF's representatives and consultants have accused JSU of a lack of cooperation and failure to provide requested documents. This is inaccurate. JSU has fully and timely cooperated and provided everything that SIF requested. In several instances, JSU provided the documents and information multiple times. When SIF's representatives have made this allegation, JSU promptly disputed it, but more importantly, JSU requested examples of requested documents or information that JSU failed to provide. SIF has never provided a single example of any SIF request that JSU failed to satisfy. If JSU really was uncooperative and nonresponsive, there should be numerous examples that SIF could easily produce. If there are in fact documents that SIF needs to adjust these claims (that have not already been provided), please advise immediately so JSU can provide them.

SIF's communication has been untimely and incomplete.

JSU has been attempting since January to resolve this matter. As indicated above, on February 15, 2019, JSU submitted a POL in the amount of \$100,475,595.70. This was based on information that JSU received from SIF's representative. JSU and SIF have been meeting for months and have not been able to resolve these claims. As indicated on the attached timeline (Exhibit 3), in spite of repeated requests, SIF took months to provide JSU, in writing, SIF's specific numbers. In addition, in spite of repeated requests, SIF continues to refuse to provide JSU with its positions on the claims and explanations for those positions. Finally, in spite of numerous requests, SIF refuses to even provide the name of someone for JSU to discuss the resolution of these claims. SIF's refusal to provide information in a timely manner, its refusal to explain its position in writings and its refusal to even identify a person to discuss the timely resolution of these claims, certainly indicates that there is a problem with how these claims are being adjusted.

SIF has asserted baseless deductions from JSU's claims.

SIF's consultants and representatives in meetings with JSU have verbally asserted certain baseless deductions from JSU's claims. (Since SIF refuses to provide any written explanations for their positions, these assertions are not in writing.) For example, SIF indicated that it was going to reduce each of JSU's claims to eliminate the portion of the repair contractor's overhead that the contractor allocated to "mobilization, warranty and documentation" in the contractor's schedule of values. There is no basis in the policy for such a reduction. Initially, SIF tried to justify this unfounded deduction by asserting that SIF had never paid for "these expenses as separate items or additional expenses", even though SIF's representatives and consultants knew that JSU was not claiming mobilization, warranty and documentation as separate items or additional expenses. SIF's representative and consultants just made that up to try and justify these unreasonable deductions. When this was identified to SIF, rather than conceding the inappropriateness of these deductions, SIF requested a detailed explanation of why these deductions were inappropriate. In

spite of the fact that SIF never provided an explanation of why these deductions were appropriate. JSU provided a detailed written explanation of why these deductions were inappropriate. This was over a month ago and SIF has not provided a response or an acknowledgement that reducing JSU's claims by the portion of the repair contractor's overhead that is allocated to "mobilization, warranty and documentation" is inappropriate. JSU still does not know if SIF maintains that it can deduct these overhead amounts from JSU's claims. A copy of the letter explaining why the reductions are inappropriate is attached as Exhibit 4.

ServPro

When the tornado struck the JSU campus, it did over \$100,000,000 in damage. JSU was faced with the herculean task of getting the campus in shape to open for the Fall semester. It would have been devastating to JSU and the entire community if JSU could not open for the 2018 Fall semester. With the approval of SIF's representatives and consultants, JSU hired ServPro who did an excellent job assisting JSU in getting the campus ready to open. A representative of SIF actively supervised and directed ServPro's work. SIF's representative reviewed and approved all contracts with ServPro. SIF's representative was actively involved in determining each ServPro item of work. SIF's representative reviewed and approved the ServPro invoices and was intimately involved, in a managerial capacity, with all decisions related to ServPro's scope of work and associated costs for every item of loss. At no time did the SIF representative raise any objection to the language of the ServPro contracts, the ServPro rates, or the work being done by ServPro. Most of ServPro's work was completed in 2018.

In January 2019, SIF suddenly had a problem with ServPro's invoices (once again about the time the reinsurers became involved). SIF's representatives suddenly asserted that there was something wrong with ServPro's invoices and/or practices that is so significant that it justified SIF withholding approximately \$12,000,000 of payments to JSU for ServPro. Furthermore, SIF has claimed that the alleged misconduct was so significant that it justified subjecting ServPro to a forensic audit of all their invoices and practices. That audit began in January and, in spite of numerous requests, neither ServPro nor JSU has been provided with the results of that audit.

Although they were reviewed and approved by SIF, ServPro's contracts were with JSU. JSU is liable for the amounts properly due ServPro. SIF's refusal to pay the ServPro invoices has created a significant liability for JSU. In addition, ServPro continues to assert its right to interest on those delinquent payments. On several occasions, JSU has requested information on SIF's dispute with ServPro. Since JSU is liable for the payments to ServPro, it is more than appropriate that SIF provide ISU with this information. SIF has refused to provide ISU with substantive explanation of the problem with ServPro, much less any documents or written reports justifying SIF's position. If ServPro engaged in conduct or billing that was inappropriate, SIF should have the evidence of this and should provide it to JSU (and ServPro). Furthermore, after eight months. SIF should certainly have concluded its ServPro audit and provided the results to JSU and ServPro. If the audit revealed something ServPro did wrong, SIF should easily be able to document it to JSU. Even if the audit is not complete, SIF should have some substantial evidence that supports their denial of the ServPro claims and share it with JSU. SIF's absolute refusal to provide JSU with any explanation or support for its position, in spite of repeated requests, certainly causes JSU with any explanation of SIF's denial the ServPro amounts.

It should also be noted that, as indicated above, ServPro did an outstanding job getting JSU's campus in a position to open for Fall classes. SIF did not have any problems with ServPro's work or rates at the time the work was done and did not have any problem with the invoices at the time they were received. JSU will be glad to provide SIF with copies of the SIF emails approving the ServPro invoices. Because of the manner in which SIF has handled ServPro, JSU is concerned that, if there was another catastrophe in the future, ServPro would not be available to assist JSU. Likewise, because of the way SIF has handled ServPro, ServPro might not be available to other SIF policy holders. Eliminating the option of ServPro would not be good for JSU or the other policy holders.

SIF is now also asserting that the dispute with ServPro somehow prevents SIF from resolving JSU's claims for property damage. JSU does not understand why SIF, after nine months, has not been able to resolve the dispute with ServPro, has not documented or explained the problems with ServPro, and now asserts that the ServPro dispute enables SIF to further delay payment of amounts due JSU.

Please immediately address the situation with ServPro. JSU again requests that SIF provide appropriate explanation and documentation of the problems with the ServPro invoices. Please also advise what is being done to bring this matter to resolution. Because of JSU's significant exposure in this matter, JSU would like to be fully advised of the situation and allowed to participate in its resolution.

Conclusion

JSU recognizes that SIF has a duty to the many state and other entities participating in the insurance program to adjust JSU's claims in accordance with applicable standards and the SIF policy, and only pay the amounts due under the policy. JSU seeks nothing more from SIF and agrees that all entities participating in the program could be impacted if SIF pays claims that are not due under the policy. That duty to the other participants, however, does not justify unreasonable delays or arbitrary reductions of amounts due. SIF's duty to the other participants does not nullify SIF's legal obligation to JSU to pay all amounts due under the policy and do so in a timely manner. JSU recognizes SIF's responsibility to the fund to properly adjust these claims. Properly adjusting the claims does not include unnecessary delays, inaccurate statements, and arbitrary deductions. Should SIF allow the reinsurers, or others, to delay the claims process and cause SIF to not pay the amounts due JSU, JSU and the taxpayers of Alabama will ultimately suffer. Furthermore, such a practice could undermine the credibility of SIF with the many other state agencies and institutions which rely upon that program to promptly process and pay their claims.

After nine months of meetings with no resolution, it appears that the parties should attempt a different procedure to resolve the claims. Accordingly, attached are copies of two emails and submissions for JSU's claims on Item #0070 Curtiss Hall (Exhibit 5) and Item #0330 Fitzpatrick Hall (Exhibit 6) with appropriate back up that were submitted today. In accordance with SIF's request, they do not include the ServPro expenses of \$433.238.69 and \$487,506.39, respectively, on these buildings. As indicated on the emails, JSU requests that, if SIF disputes any item of work or amount, SIF identify that item and provide an explanation for the disagreement. Since SIF has

had this information and back-up for months, it should be able to immediately respond to these claims. Hopefully, by addressing the claims one building at a time, the parties can make progress toward resolving all the claims. JSU suggests that we attempt this procedure on these two buildings and see how it works. If it works, JSU will make a similar submission for each of the other buildings. In order for this process to work, SIF must make the commitment of time and resources to promptly respond to the claims and require the same of its representatives and consultants.

The parties need to find a way to promptly and fairly resolve these claims. If you have another solution, please suggest it. JSU cannot allow this to continue indefinitely but also cannot accept significantly less than it is entitled under the policy just to get it resolved. Neither JSU nor SIF are serving the taxpayers of Alabama, if they cannot find a way to fairly and promptly resolve these claims.

If you have any questions, please contact me. I hope that with your assistance, JSU and SIF can resolve this matter in the very near future in a fair and reasonable manner. Please have someone call me to discuss how we can bring this matter to resolution.

Sincerely,

Oscar M. Price III

OMP:cja Enclosure

cc (by email): Kelly Butler

Robert Northcutt, Esq. Hon, Samuel Monk Richard H. Cater, Esq. Albert L. Jordan, Esq. Samuel T. Sessions, Esq.

ALABAMA STATE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

BWW, Inc. d/b/a ServPro of Birmingham

Exhibit "C"



December 3, 2020

Mr. Barry Hair, Esq. Clark Hair & Smith PC 1000 Urban Center Drive / Suite 125 Birmingham, Al. 35242

Dear Mr. Hair:

I am in receipt of your letter dated November 12, 2020.

Based upon the audit presentation in Birmingham, it appears that JSU may have been one of the victims of gross, and potentially criminal, overbilling by ServPro. Indeed, as I am sure you are aware, representatives from the FBI and the U.S. Attorney's office have been investigating ServPro's charges to JSU for several months now.

ServPro's apparent fraud and overbilling have created massive problems for JSU. Among other issues, the State of Alabama has, to date, refused to reimburse JSU for approximately \$15,000,000 in tornado related expenses because of ServPro's alleged malfeasance. This has resulted in tremendous financial hardship for JSU, delayed the completion of work and disrupted JSU's normal operations.

The circumstances regarding ServPro's apparent gross overbillings are particularly troubling. ServPro knew that in the weeks and months that followed the destruction from the tornado that JSU did not have the manpower or resources to closely monitor ServPro's actions. Instead, JSU was relying upon ServPro to proceed with honesty and in good faith. JSU's reliance was misplaced,

Contrary to your assertions, JSU has been steadfast for some time now that it did not owe money to ServPro. JSU's outside counsel's discussion of an arbitration agreement with ServPro is not an acknowledgement that money is owed, but, at best, a showing that there was a dispute over whether additional moneys were owed. JSU ultimately refused to arbitrate with ServPro. To be clear, JSU will not pay any additional amounts to ServPro until the FBI investigation is concluded and JSU is assured by the State of Alabama that any further payments to ServPro will be reimbursed.

Contrary to your assertions, JSU does not "fully understand the great burden [the non-payment of invoices] continues to be for ServPro and its people." To the contrary, ServPro has been paid over

\$48,000,000 by JSU. The burden that has arisen out of ServPro's work has fallen on JSU and the State of Alabama.

Leaving aside that there appears to be significant evidence of overbilling by ServPro, any breach of contract claim by ServPro against JSU would fail for numerous reasons. Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Gregory F. Harley

ALABAMA STATE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

BWW, Inc. d/b/a ServPro of Birmingham

Exhibit "D"

BWW, Inc. dba SERVPRO of Birmingham Large Loss

INVOICE

2000 Huntley Pkwy Pelham, AL 35124 Phone: (205) 664-6770

Master Final Invoice

INVOICE #	DATE
Master	2/13/2020
CUSTOMER ID	TERMS

SHIP TO

BILL TO
Jacksonvile State University
700 Pelham Road North
Jacksonville, AL 36265

Building Name	Final Invoice Number	Previous Balance	Schedule A Credits from Final Internal Audit	Schedule D Credits from Final Internal Audit	Schedule E Credits from Final Internal Audit	Final Total
JSU-Alumni House	1402	\$673.72	-\$3,100.13	\$39,386.36	\$39,386.36	-\$2,426.41
JSU-Ayers Hali	1403	\$0,00		\$632,74	\$632.74	\$0.00
ISU-Brewer Hail	1404	\$79.86		\$18,157.62		\$79.86
ISU-Cafeteria	1405	\$0.00		\$15,884.80	\$15,884.80	\$0.00
JSU-Coliseum	1406	\$12,071.50	-\$11,944.00	\$903,819.14	\$903,819.14	\$127.50
JSU-College Apts	1407	\$305.47	-\$3,487.50	\$71,115.70	\$71,115.70	-\$3,182.03
ISU-Daugette Hall	1408	\$9.27		\$274.38	\$274.38	\$9.27
JSU-General Conditions	1409	\$138,649.81	-\$36,225.88	\$1,119,655.58	\$1,119,655.58	\$102,423.93
SU-Grounds	1410	\$88,347.57	-\$281.88	\$276,747.77	\$276,747.77	
ISU-Hammond	1411	\$5,634.02		\$11,312.44	\$11,312.44	\$88,065.69
SU-Honors Hall	1412	\$311.88		\$8,863,04	\$8,863.04	\$5,634.02
SU-Honors House	1413	\$58,753.35		\$119,090.01	\$119,090.01	\$311.88
SU-Int'i House	1414	\$4,795.61	-\$914,38	\$101,711.38	\$101,711.38	\$58,753.35
SU-Library	1415	\$0.00	-\$529.38	\$155,125.33		\$3,881.23
SU-Logan Hall	1416	\$2,168.70	¥	\$101,633.98	\$155,125.33	-\$529.38
SU-Martin Hall	1417	\$4,994.00	-\$1,127.50	\$352,164.08	\$101,633.98	\$2,168.70
SU-Mason Hall	1418	\$5,564.55	-\$4,958.13	\$212,554.02	\$352,164.08	\$3,866.50
SU-McGee Science Ctr	1419	\$451,26	* 17550123	\$48,127,71	\$212,554.02	\$1,606.42
SU-Merrill Hall	1420	\$4,777.15	-\$7,664.63	\$147,913,51	\$48,127.71	\$451.26
SU-Patterson Hall	1421	\$2,664.65	-\$275.00	\$127,231,97	\$147,913.51	-\$2,887.48
SU-Presidents House	1472	\$5,442.49	4275.00		\$1 27 , 23 1.97	\$2,389.65
SU-Ramona Wood	1423	\$0.00		\$35,753.62	\$35,753,62	\$5,442.49
SU-Rock House	1424	\$380.42		\$12,964.75	\$12,964.75	\$0.00
SU-Rowe Hall	1425	\$4,770.47	-\$11,821.00	\$7,072.29	\$7,072.29	\$380,42
SU-Salls Hall	1426	\$0.00	-\$11,021.00	\$264,241.58	\$264,241.58	-\$7,050.53
5U-Self Hall	1427	\$0.00		\$4,896.63	\$4,896.63	\$0.00
U-Ștone Center	1428	\$0.00		\$9,974.52	\$9,974.52	\$0.00
SU-Theron Montgomery	1429	\$0.00		\$32,747.59	\$32,747.59	\$0.00
U-Visitor Center	1430	\$1,184,22		\$182.75	\$182.75	\$0.00
SU-Wallace Hall	1431	\$86,311.31	40 007 FD	\$7,283.24	\$7,283.24	\$1,184.22
	4431	\$30,311.31	-\$8,887.50	\$992,600.84	\$992,600.84	\$77,423.81

\$429,341.2B -\$91,216.91 \$5,199,119.37 \$5,199,119.37 \$338,<u>12</u>4.37

Fed Tax ID# 63-1127232

BWW, Inc. dba SERVPRO of Birmingham Construction

INVOICE

2000 Huntley Pkwy Pelham, AL 35124 Phone: (205) 664-6770

Master Final Invoice

DATE
2/13/2020
TERMS

BILL TO
Jacksonvile State University
700 Pelham Road North
Jacksonville, AL 36265

oad North NL 36265					
Building Name	Final Invoice Number	Previous Balance		Schedule D Credits from Final Internal Audit	Final Balanc
nditions	5140001	\$1,960,524,91	\$39.211.63	\$12,009.16	

SHIP TO

Building Name	Final Invoice Number	Previous Balance	Schedule A Credits from Final Internal Audit	Schedule D Credits from Final Internal Audit	Schedule E Credits from Final Internal Audit	Final Balance
General Conditions	5140001	\$1,960,524.91	\$39,211.63	\$12,998.16	Tucernal Augic	1 000 445 0
2. Grounds	5140002	\$3,702.60		712/330.10		1,906,415.9
Alumni House	5140003	\$282.16	\$332.50			3,702.60
Amphitheatre	5140004	-\$1,738.01	,			(50.34
Amphitheatre (Roof)	5140005	\$3,673.97				(1,738.01
Amphitheatre (Trim)	5140006	\$2,774.05				3,673,97
Athletic Field House	\$140007	\$448.80				2,774.09
Ayers Hall	5140008	\$49,277.78				448.80
Ayers Hall (Phase 2)	5140009	-\$3,423.72	\$855.00			49,277.78
Ayers Transportation Building	5140010	\$14,871.13	4033.00			(4,278.72
Baseball Field	5140011	\$396.00				14,871.13
Baseball Field - Phase 2 (Non-Insurance)	5140012	\$0.00				90, aer
Bibb Graves Hall	5140013	\$63,284.38	\$950.00			
Brewer Hall	5140014	\$16,990.86	\$930.00			62,334.38
Campus Housing	5140015	\$716.78				16,990.85
Carlisle Fine Arts	5140016	\$1,487.78				716.78
Chemical Storage Building	5140017	\$15,695.27				1,487.78
College Apartments	5140018	\$5,463.12	#1 360 50			15,633.78
College Apartments (Add'l Trades)	5140019	\$4,594.00	\$1,268.50			5,179.44
College Apartments (Cabinets)	5140020	\$183.54				4,594.00
College Apartments (Drywall)	5140021	\$33,067.21				183.54
College Apartments (Electrical)	5140022					33,067.21
College Apartments (Flooring)	5140022	\$12,750.83				12,750.83
ollege Apartments (HVAC)	5140023	\$7,068.06				7,068.06
oilege Apartments (Paint)	5140024	\$603.79				603.79
ollege Apartments (Plumbing)	5140025	\$5,813.43				5,813.43
ollege Apartments (Siding)	5140027	\$3,121.88				3,121.88
ollege Apartments (Tile)	5140027	-\$4,228.70				(4,228.70)
ollege Apartments (Trim)	5140028	\$7,326.02				6,436.81
ollege Apartments (Windows)	5140030	\$4,232,13				4,232.13
row Hall	5140030 5140031	\$2,687,30				2,687.30
row Hall (Phase 2)		\$33,432.56	\$574.00			32,858.56
urtiss Hali	5140032	\$6,563.45				6,563.45
augette Hall	5140033	\$58,639.30				58,639.30
augette Hall - Computer Center	5140034	\$101,709.07				91,663.87
ixon Hall	5140035	\$713.53				713.53
xon Hall (Phase 2)	5140036	\$66,539.66				66,539.66
uncan Maintenance	5140037	\$2,044.05				2,044.05
MA Grounds	5140038	-\$12,300.99				(12,300.99)
zpatrick Hall	5140039	\$14,282,17				14,282.17
rney Hall	5140040	\$99,176.34				99,176.34
ammond Hall	5140041	\$0.00				8
ommond Hali (Phase 2)	5140042	\$49,044.75				49,044.76
	5140043	\$481.60				481.60
onors House	5140044	\$4,181.27				2,950.79
onors House (Drywall)	5140045	\$0.00				
nors House (Electrical)	5140046	\$0.00				c 1
nors House (Flooring)	5140047	\$0.00				- 1
nors House (Framing)	5140048	\$0.00				. 1
nars House (Gutters)	5140049	\$1,980.00				1,980.00
nors House (Paint)	5140050	\$0.00				
nors House (Plumbing)	5140051	\$0.00				_
nors House (Roof)	5140052	\$2,327.50				2,327.50
nors House (Siding)	5140053	\$2,792.54				10.744,2

Hongrs House (Windows) Houston Cole Library (Add'i Trades)	5140054 5140055	\$1 <u>2,</u> 951.95 \$6,481.60			12,951.9
Houston Cole Library (Demo)	5140056		An ava		6,481.6
Houston Cole Library (Repair)	5140057	\$1,883,579.06 \$2,360.081.03	\$3,619.75	\$362.64	1,879,596,6
Houston Cole Library (Remaining Items)	5140058	\$2,300,981.07 \$302,118.42	\$5,880.00 00.088,2\$		2,295,101.0
International House	5140059	\$108,083,03			302,118.4
International House (Add'l Trades)	5140060	\$7,237.97			103,925,0
Jack Hopper Dining Hall	5140061	\$102,805.72	\$6,697,50		7,237.97
Kennamer Hall	5140062	\$0.00	\$0,037,20		96,108.22
Kitty Stone	5140063	-\$6,994.05			
Kitty Stone Contents	5140064	\$9,490.00			(6,994.05
Logan Hall	5140065	\$247,140.08	£35 335 F0		9,490.00
Logen Hall (Addi Trades)	5140056	\$4,880.00	\$26,326.50	\$8,469.84	208,971.28
Logan Hall (Phase 2)	5140,057	\$764.10			4,880.00
Martin Hall	5140068	\$2,459,919.99	\$135 Ot 4 FO		764,10
Martin Hali (Add'l Trages)	5140069	\$27,113.60	\$130,914.50		2,338,959.03
Mason Hail	5140070	-\$42,309.50	#2 21 B AO		27,113.60
Mason Hall (Content Cleaning)	5140071	\$168,034.03	\$2,218.00		(44,527,60
McGee Building	5140072	\$198,575,35	\$756.00		157,278.03
McGee Science Center	5140073	-\$343,505,27	\$4,128.00		194,447.35
Meehan Hall	5140074	\$33,326,02	\$1,828.75		(545,334.02
Merrill Hall	5140075		** ***		33,326,02
Marrifi Hail (Mural)	5140075	-\$4,304.08	\$1,163.50		(5,467.58
Pannell Hall	-	\$18,260.30			18,260.30
atterson Hall	5140077 6140078	\$272.00			272.00
Patterson Half (Addfl Trades)	\$140078 5140070	\$277,798.40	\$S,459.75		271,836.61
Paul Carpenter Village- Delta Chi	5140079	\$230.60			230.6D
auf Carpenter Village- Delta Chi Roof	5140080	\$4,870.62			4,870.62
	5140081	\$1,688.76			1,588.76
aul Carpenter Village- Kappa Alpha Order	5140082	\$17,335.34			16,334.91
aul Carpenter Village- Kappa Alpha Order-Ro	5140083	\$6,854.39			6,854.39
auf Carpenter Village- Kappa Sigma	<i>5</i> 140084	-\$3,099.11	\$7,362.50		(10,461,61)
aul Carpenter Village- Kappa Sigma-Roof	5140085	\$11,082.03			11,082.03
aul Carpenter VIIIage- Leadership House For	5140086	\$5,783.76			5,014.25
aul Carpanter Vällage- Leadership House For	5140087	\$7,408,45			7,408.45
aul Carpenter Village- Pl Kappa Phi	514008E	\$400.75			400.75
ete Mathews Collseum	5140089	\$178,913.88	\$11,328.75		167,585.13
ete Mathews Collseum (Phase 2)	5140090	\$31,775.08	\$3,785.00		27,990.08
ete Mathews Colesium (Phase 3)	5140091	\$2,713,39			2,713.39
resident's Home	5140092	\$38,641.77			38,630.22
amona Wood Building	5140093	\$31,776.72			31,451.13
egional Medical Center	5140094	\$9,894.01			9,894.01
egional Medical Center - Phase 1	5140095	\$0.00:			5,027,01
egional Medical Center - Phase 2	5140096	\$6,066.72			6,066.72
egional Medical Center - Phase 3	5140097	\$8,091.52			- 7
egional Medical Center - Phase 4	5140098	\$0.00			6,091,52
ock House	5140099	-\$13,339.09			(12 320 00)
ock House (Drywall)	5140100	\$17,063.20			(13,339,09)
ock House (Electrical)	5140101	\$2,403.45			17,063.20
ck House (Flooring)	5140102	\$0.00			2,403.45
ock House (Framing)	5140103	\$16,377.68			4
ock House (Gutters)	5140104	\$118.80			16,377.68
ck House (HVAC)	5140105	-\$13,528.90			L18;00
ick House (Paint)	5140106	\$3,336.27	\$1,904.00		(13,528.90)
ick House (Roof)	5140107	-\$237.50	\$1,30 4.00		1,432.27
ck House (Siding)	5140108	\$10,578.69			(237.50)
we Hall	5140109	\$121,655.31	#D 156.00		10,578,69
we Hall (Phase 2)	5140110		\$9,156.00		104,215.01
y Webb Storage	5140111	\$163,20 \$92,510.15			163.20
lls Hali	5140112	· ·			92,510.15
If Hall		\$17,164.65			17,164.65
ftball Field	5140113	\$1,374,70			1,374.70
ftball Field (Equipment Shed)	5140114	\$366.50			366,50
arkman Hail	5140115	\$742,51			742.51
dium Tower	5140116	\$1,080.50			1,080.50
aphenson Hall	5140117	\$0.00			- 1
	5140118	\$152,681.50			152,681.50
one Center of Performing Arts	5140119	\$10,668.72			10,668.72
nnis Courts	5140120	\$3,026.74			3,026.74
eron Montgomarγ Building	5140121	\$89,776.91			89,776.91
Itor's Center	5140122	\$17,114.10			16,836,62
itor's Center (ACT)	5140123	\$641.83			641.83
ltor's Center (Brick)	5140124	\$565.32			565.32
itor's Center (Drywell)	5140125.	\$0.00			203.32
itor's Center (Electrical) Itor's Center (Flooring)	5140126	\$3,785.25			3,785.25

		\$11,252,990.56	\$282,382.63	\$12,998.16	\$8,832,48	10.905.857.91
Williams Infirmary (Roof)	5140140	\$3,028.07				\$3,028.07
Williams Infirmary (Siding)	5140139	\$744.15				\$744.15
Williams Infirmary	5140138	\$1,238.84				1,238.84
Wallace Half (Non-Insurance)	5140137	\$0.00	\$688.00			(00.886)
Wallace Hall	BELOVIE	\$107,332.85	\$15,974.50			91,358.35
Visitor's Center (Windows)	5140135	\$1,636.34				1,635.34
Visitor's Center (Trim)	5140134	\$2,956.37				(7,043.63)
Visitor's Center (Siding)	51/0133	\$2,331.71				2,331.71
Visitor's Center (Roof)	5140132	\$1,157.81				1,157.81
Visitor's Center (Paint)	5140131	\$5,868.63				5,837,83
Vigitor's Center (HVAC)	5140130	\$1,391.60				1,391,60
Vigitor's Center (Gutters)	5140129	\$163.20				163,20
Visitor's Center (Framing)	5140128	\$3,166.27				3,166.27

Fed Tax ID# 63-1127232